

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE

June 10, 2015 1:30 p.m.

BAWSCA Offices, 155 Bovet Road, San Mateo, 1st Floor Conference Room

(Directions on page 2)

AGENDA

Ag	enda Item	<u>Presenter</u>	Page#			
1.	Call To Order, and Roll Call	(Bronitsky)	Pg 3			
	Roster of Committee members (Attachment)					
2.	2. <u>Comments by Chair</u> (Bronitsky)					
3.	Public Comment	(Bronitsky)				
	Members of the public may address the committee on any issues not listed on the agenda that are within the purview of the committee. Comments on matters that are listed on the agenda may be made at the time the committee is considering each item. Each speaker is allowed a maximum of three (3) minutes.					
4.	Consent Calendar	(Bronitsky)				
	A. Approval of Minutes from the April 8, 2015 meeting (Attachment)		Pg 5			
5.	Action Calendar					
	A. Memorandum of Agreement for the Bay Area Regional Reliability Plan and Authorization for BAWSCA's Cost Share Contribution (Attachment)	(Sandkulla)	Pg 23			
	<u>Issue</u> : What is BAWSCA's involvement in the Bay Area Regional Reliability Partnership Plan and what are the anticipated results that warrant BAWSCA's contribution to this effort?					
	<u>Information to Committee</u> : Staff memo and oral report.					
	Committee Action Requested: That the Committee recommend that the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager, subject to legal counsel review, to execute the Memorandum of Agreement to participate in the development of the BARR Plan, including authorization of BAWSCA's cost share contribution of \$50,000.					
	B. Professional Services Contract with Selected Consultant for Online Rebate Application System and New Subscription Water Conservation Management System (<i>Attachment</i>)	(Johnson)	Pg 27			
	<u>Issue:</u> How can BAWSCA improve its conservation rebate application process to (1) better serve its member agencies and their customers with rebate processing and (2) assist its member agencies to efficiently manage their local water conservation efforts?					
	Information to Committee: Staff memo and oral report. Committee Action Requested: That the Committee recommend the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager to negotiate and execute an agreement with the selected consultant, subject to legal counsel review, to develop and implement (1) an Online Rebate Application System, and (2) a Member Agency Conservation Management System.					

C. Professional Services Contract with Global sun Landscape to Provide Landscape Inspection Services for the Lawn Be Gone! Program

(**Johnson**) *Pg 31*

<u>Issue:</u> How can BAWSCA assist its member agencies in managing the increased workload associated with the Lawn Be Gone! Program growth?

<u>Information to Committee:</u> Staff memo and oral report

<u>Committee Action Requested:</u> That the Committee recommend the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager to 1) negotiate and execute a contract, subject to legal counsel review, to implement the Lawn Be Gone! Inspection Services program, and 2) offer participation in the program to interested BAWSCA agencies on a subscription basis in FY 2015-16.

D. Process and Schedule for CEO Annual Evaluation (Attachment)

(Breault) Pg 35

<u>Issue:</u> What is the process and schedule for completing the CEO annual evaluation?

<u>Information for Committee:</u> Staff memo and oral report.

<u>Committee Action Requested:</u> That the Committee provide input on the procedure and draft evaluation form for the CEO/GM performance evaluation and recommend that the Board review the revised form during its July meeting for subsequent use as part of the CEO/GM performance evaluation.

6. Reports (Sandkulla)

- A. Water Supply Update
- B. Water Conservation and Drought Response
- C. Mountain Tunnel Update
- D. Pilot Water Transfer Plan Update
- E. CEO Letter (Attachment)

Pg 41 Pg 43

- F. Board Policy Committee Calendar (Attachment)
- G. Correspondence Packet (*Under Separate Cover*)
- 7. Comments by Committee Members

(Bronitsky)

8. <u>Adjournment to the next meeting</u> on August 12, 2015 at 1:30pm in the 1st floor conference room of the BAWSCA office building, at 155 Bovet Road, San Mateo.

Upon request, the Board Policy Committee of the Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) will provide for written agenda materials in appropriate alternative formats, or disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to enable individuals with disabilities to participate in public meetings. Please send a written request, including your name, mailing address, phone number and brief description of the requested materials and the preferred alternative format or auxiliary aid or service at least two (2) days before the meeting. Requests should be sent to: Bay Area Water Supply & Conservation Agency, 155 Bovet Road, Suite 650, San Mateo, CA 94402 or by e-mail at bawsca@bawsca.org

All public records that relate to an open session item of a meeting of the Board Policy Committee that are distributed to a majority of the Committee less than 72 hours before the meeting, excluding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, will be available for inspection at **BAWSCA**, 155 Bovet Road, Suite 650, San Mateo, CA 94402 at the same time that those records are distributed or made available to a majority of the Committee.

Directions to BAWSCA

From 101: Take Hwy.92 Westbound towards Half Moon Bay. Exit at El Camino Northbound (move into the far left Lane) Left at the 1st stop light which is Bovet Road (Chase Building will be at the corner of Bovet and El Camino). Proceed West on Bovet Road past 24-Hour Fitness to two tall buildings to your left. Turn left into the driveway between the two buildings and left again at the end of the driveway to the "Visitor" parking spaces in front of the parking structure.

From 92: Exit at El Camino Northbound and follow the same directions shown above.



BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE

Committee Roster:

Charlie Bronitsky, Estero MID (Chair)

Kirsten Keith, City of Menlo Park (Vice-Chair)

Randy Breault, City of Brisbane/GVMID (BAWSCA Chair)

Rob Guzzetta, California Water Service Company

Gustav Larsson, City of Sunnyvale

Jerry Marsalli, City of Santa Clara

Al Mendall, City of Hayward (BAWSCA Vice-Chair)

Irene O'Connell, City of San Bruno

Barbara Pierce, Redwood City

Louis Vella, Mid-Peninsula Water District

John Weed, Alameda County Water District

(This page intentionally left blank.)

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE

April 8, 2015 – 1:30 p.m. BAWSCA Offices, 155 Bovet Road, San Mateo, 1st Floor Conference Room

MINUTES

1. <u>Call to Order: 1:30 p.m.</u>

Committee Chair Charlie Bronitsky called the meeting to order at 1:32 pm. A list of Committee members who were present (10), absent (1) and other attendees is attached.

The Committee took the following actions and discussed the following topics.

- **2. Public Comments:** There were no comments.
- 3. Consent Calendar: Approval of Minutes from the April 8, 2015 meeting.

Director O'Connell made a motion, seconded by Director Pierce, that the minutes from the February 11, 2015 Board Policy Committee meeting be approved.

The motion passed unanimously.

4. Comments by the Chair:

Committee Chair Bronitsky briefly shared his concerns about the drought.

5. Action Items:

A. Proposed Fiscal Year 2015-16 Work Plan and Operating Budget: Ms. Sandkulla presented the proposed agency work plan and operating budget for FY 2015-16.

The proposed work plan remains unchanged from what was reported to the committee in February, and to the Board in March. Ms. Sandkulla noted that additional program activities and staff time are included in the work plan to respond to the ongoing drought.

The proposed operating budget is \$3,201,679, which includes the \$4,085 adjustment to the top step salary that the Board approved at its March 19th meeting.

BAWSCA has historically used four principles when considering how to fund the budget. Ms. Sandkulla stated the committee's previous discussion recommended a modification to the third principle. She proposed a change that states, "Apply incremental and prudent increases in assessments as necessary", instead of, "Do not increase assessments unless absolutely necessary." This change allows for flexibility in increasing assessments incrementally over a multi-year period if and when a need arises. It also allows for a smoother transition for potential rate increases.

The recommended funding mechanism for the proposed operating budget is to increase assessments by 24% and to use the unspent Water Management Charge (WMC) funds associated with the development of the Long-Term Reliable Water Supply Strategy in the amount of \$355,700. This mechanism funds the operating budget and returns the General Reserve to slightly above the minimum budgetary guideline.

Two additional funding alternatives were presented.

If the Board desires incremental increases to the assessments, Alternative A would provide (1) a 21% increase and (2) the use of the unspent WMC to fully fund the budget and bring the General Reserve to a balance that is \$58,261 less than the minimum budgetary guideline. This alternative does not achieve the minimum budgetary guideline for the General Reserve, but it is close.

Alternative B addresses the committee's previous discussion to split the assessment increase in half and apply it over a period of 2 years. This alternative would (1) increase assessments by 14% each year over 2 years, and (2) use the unspent WMC to return the General Reserve balance to the minimum budgetary guideline by the end of FY 2016-17.

Ms. Sandkulla does not recommend Alternative B because it places the agency in a vulnerable position of having a very low General Reserve next fiscal year. Ms. Sandkulla stated that while the percentage of increase in the recommended funding plan is high, the dollar value is relatively small to justify the risks of a low General Reserve.

Given the plan to update the BAWSCA website, Director O'Connell asked why the modification of BAWSCA's Water Conservation Database (Database) to match CUWCC's reporting format was excluded from the proposed work plan and operating budget.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that the Database is web-based, but is separate from BAWSCA's website. The conservation database uses a software called Sharepoint, which allows agencies to input data online, and provides BAWSCA access to the information. The effort to streamline the Database with CUWCC's format will involve a lot of work, and will be in a state of flux given the Governor's orders to address the drought.

One of the biggest challenges for agencies to re-format the database is the institutional changes individual member agencies would need to make to ensure that data between finance and water management departments are consistent with each other. The Governor's order for all water retailers to provide monthly water sales reports by customer category will push member agencies to make their interdepartmental data consistent with each other and enable them to provide data to outside entities in the next 6 months. This will have a long-term benefit for all agencies, particularly with CUWCC's reporting requirements.

Ms. Sandkulla further explained that one of the things the website update is looking for is the ability to merge the Database into the website. Additionally, improvements to the functionality of the Database are currently being reviewed. Therefore, the effort to merge the Database into the BAWSCA website may be more appropriate in FY 2016-17 when the website is updated, the Database functionality is improved, and the agencies are adjusted to the Governor's orders.

In response to Director Weed's comments, Ms. Sandkulla explained that the conservation subscription program cost of approximately \$1 million dollars is not included in the Operating Budget. These expenditures and income are reflected in the agency's annual audit report.

BAWSCA's administrative costs for implementing subscription programs are a small portion of the \$1 million. They are also reflected in the annual audit report.

Director Weed suggested inclusion of a chart that shows the gross amount of the operating and administrative costs associated with implementing subscription programs.

Director Mendall stated that if BAWSCA budgets an expense for staff to support the subscription programs, and the programs charge a fee to recover the costs for staff, it should be reflected in the budget.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that the administrative fees per rebate are determined based on the potential number of rebates estimated for the year, and are charged to participating agencies. The money received from the administrative fees is deposited into BAWSCA's general fund each year.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that it would be difficult to include the administrative costs in the budget because the money earned from administrative fees is not guaranteed to be received until the subsequent year. During the budget development, agencies have not yet committed to participate in the programs.

Record keeping of the administrative fees outside of the operating budget, and reflecting it in the audit report is the recommendation of BAWSCA's accountant. This practice ensures BAWSCA's budget stays sound, as opposed to anticipating a cost recovery based on an estimated amount from programs that agencies have not yet committed to.

Ms. Sandkulla stated that a separate report of the administrative earnings each year, as suggested by Director Weed, can be provided to the Board.

Ms. Sandkulla further clarified that the administrative fees for the subscription conservation programs add up to less than a full-time staff, and will continue to decrease as the agency gains efficiency in processing rebate applications.

Director Guzzetta stated that if the administrative fees become a bigger fraction of staff time, it should be reflected in the budget. But for now, having a report on how much is recovered in administrative costs would be helpful.

Ms. Sandkulla will provide historical and planned information on the level of staff effort and the level of total expenses for the subscription programs with a breakdown of the rebate dollars and the staff dollars.

Director O'Connell made a motion, seconded by Director Pierce, to recommend Board approval of the:

- Proposed FY 2015-16 Work Plan and results to be achieved,
- Proposed Operating Budget of \$3,201,679, and
- Recommended funding plan which includes a) reimbursement to BAWSCA's General Reserve of previously expended costs associated with the development of the Long-Term Reliable Water Supply Strategy with surplus Water Management Funds, and b) FY 2015-16 assessments of \$3,276,889.

The motion carried unanimously.

B. Amendment to Hanson Bridgett Professional Services Contract: Ms. Sandkulla reported that the current contract limit for Hanson Bridgett is \$524,000. There has been higher than anticipated level of effort from Legal Counsel in the Fall of 2014 to finalize the settlement agreement with the SFPUC, associated with the Wholesale Revenue Requirement for FY 2010-11. BAWSCA staff and Legal Counsel has been making steady progress in resolving outstanding issues with San Francisco.

An amendment for an increase of \$60K-\$100K in Hanson Bridgett's contract is being requested. The funds are available from unspent FY 2014-15 funds including budgeted contingency.

Ms. Sandkulla reported that the need for this budget adjustment was recognized early on this fiscal year. This early identification allowed BAWSCA staff and Legal Counsel to work diligently in managing expenses on all aspects of the budget to minimize the impact of the adjustment to the overall budget. BAWSCA staff will continue this effort; however, the request for a contract amendment is necessary to ensure BAWSCA has the resources it needs for the remainder of the fiscal year. A final number will be available by the May Board meeting.

Director Bronitsky thanked Hanson Bridgett for its outstanding services to BAWSCA, and called for a motion.

Director O'Connell made a motion, seconded by Director Keith, that the Committee recommend the CEO/General Manager monitor the professional services contract with Hanson Bridgett to determine the most efficient and effective deployment of resources through the close of FY

2014-15, and provide a recommendation for a possible contract amendment to the full board at its May meeting.

The motion carried unanimously.

C. <u>Approval of Professional Services Contracts for FY 2015-16</u>: Ms. Sandkulla reported that there are seventeen professional services contracts that need to be in place by July 1, 2015. Each contract is presented in a staff memo.

The contracts fall into 2 categories. Ten are funded by the operating budget, and have a not-to-exceed contract amount. Seven are funded by the subscription programs. The seven consultant contracts that help BAWSCA run its subscription conservation programs are paid fully by agencies that participate in the program.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that financial consultants do very specific tasks under the direction of the CEO and the Sr. Administrative Analyst. The services include compliance with the Water Supply Agreement (WSA), administration of the bonds, and management of investments funds from the Bonds and OPEB.

Director Guzzetta asked about the services BAWSCA will need as it moves its focus from the WSIP to San Francisco's asset management program.

Ms. Sandkulla stated that BAWSCA is aware of the need to find additional professional services with expertise on asset management. BAWSCA is also looking for a consultant that is familiar with San Francisco's operations because future oversight efforts will move towards the SFPUC's CIP process. There is a budget allocation for that portion of the workplan.

Ms. Sandkulla reported that BAWSCA continues to get the engineering expertise it needs from Terry Roberts Consulting for the WSIP cost, schedule and construction management, as well as on the transition of focus to asset management. Mr. Roberts is aware of the changing focus, and has been accommodating of BAWSCA's needs.

In response to Director Weed's questions, Ms. Sandkulla stated that the contract with Waterfluence includes the rating system that encourages landscapers to develop products that achieve the highest rating for conservation in large landscapes.

Ms. Sandkulla added that BAWSCA was John Whitcomb's first regional client and has been a strong and steady advocate of his program. He continues to do an exceptional job in improving his product to meet the needs of the BAWSCA service area.

On the contract with Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe for legal support on the bond documents, Ms. Sandkulla reported that BAWSCA works with Steve Spitz whose time is used judiciously for very specific and key issues regarding the Bonds.

Director Mendall asked about the process for issuing RFP's particularly with the ones that have been around for many years. He stated that the next year or two would seem

like the appropriate time to issue an RFP given the changing focus from the WSIP oversight to SFPUC's Asset Management.

Ms. Sandkulla stated that for the contracts funded by the operating budget, the process has been an annual evaluation of whether the professional services are providing what the agency needs, and whether they are the highest ranking service providers in terms of expertise and rates.

The professional services contract for the WSIP oversight calls for certain requirements. Ms. Sandkulla stated that there's a balance between having the historical knowledge on a lot of the work involved, and the benefits of a new service contract that comes with a learning curve during the transition. She will keep a close watch on opportunities to ensure that BAWSCA is getting the best professional services for its dollars.

The contracts for the subscription programs are regularly discussed with the member agencies on whether the consultants are performing as expected, and if there are different programs than the current ones. Those contracts fluctuate because they are based on what agencies are interested in.

Director Breault requested, if it's not already being done, that the contracts are reviewed by legal counsel.

Ms. Sandkulla confirmed that legal counsel reviews all contracts, and reported that last year's legal review process required an update of each contract's insurance compliance.

Director O'Connell made a motion, seconded by Director Breault, that the committee recommend Board approval of the seventeen contracts for legal, engineering financial, strategic and water conservation services needing to be in place by July 1, 2015.

The motion carried unanimously.

D. Professional Services Contract with Selected Consultant to Update the BAWSCA Website: Ms. Sandkulla reported that proposed FY 2015-16 work plan includes the task to update the content and function of the BAWSCA website. The operating budget includes \$30,000 for this effort.

The objectives for the update are to obtain a user-friendly navigation format for the user, as well as a user-friendly operating system for effective and efficient content management. Because BAWSCA's member agencies form a large group of agencies with a large amount of information, the update will seek for an innovative design structure that will support infographics, have the ability to collect and access data online, as well as accommodate future static and interactive online needs to effectively provide information to the website audience.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that the website has evolved to serve two purposes. It provides information about who BAWSCA is, what it does, its mission, authority, and governance. The conservation component of the website has grown significantly and is now a critical part of the website that provides information on conservation opportunities for water customers. The goal is to make it easier for the customer to obtain this information.

In the interest of time, Ms. Sandkulla seeks the committee's recommendation for Board action at its meeting in May to authorize negotiation and execution of an agreement with a selected consultant.

Request for Proposals (RFP) will be released following Board authorization. The schedule targets a selection of a consultant in July, completion of the design by December, and a launch by February 2016. A faster schedule will be considered if possible, to complete the website update with the anticipation of another dry year in 2016.

In response to Director Mendall, Ms. Sandkulla stated that BAWSCA will negotiate a contract to include an as-needed technical support agreement to address the types of changes that might be needed to adjust the website format as it relates to the drought, in case conditions improve. The current agreement with the existing website consultant has an annual service contract that provides staff the necessary technical support it needs to make content changes. The as-need portion of the agreement is a small amount, but is helpful with content management, and therefore will be negotiated in the new contract.

Director Pierce made a motion, seconded by Director Breault, that the Board Policy Committee recommend the Board authorize the CEO to negotiate and execute an agreement for a not to exceed amount of \$30,000, with the selected consultant, subject to legal counsel's final review, to update the BAWSCA website.

The motion carried unanimously.

6. Reports:

Water Supply Update:

1. San Francisco Regional Water System: Ms. Sandkulla stated that, as reported in previous meetings, the Regional Water System's water bank in Don Pedro Reservoir is low as anticipated, and is where the impacts of the drought is evident. Hetch Hetchy is at 70%, which is a higher level than is normal for this time of the year. This reflects the low likelihood of a large runoff that would need to be captured in the reservoir at this time, and San Francisco's efforts to keep as much water as possible in the reservoir for drinking water purposes moving forward.

Ms. Sandkulla reported that the precipitation on April 7th did not produce runoff that benefits the supply that becomes available to San Francisco. However there

was snow, and the resulting water supply benefit will depend upon when that snow run-off occur.

The current snowpack is dismal and is a major premise for the Governor's Executive Order.

Ms. Sandkulla presented the graph that shows the water that becomes available to San Francisco from the Tuolumne River.

As previously reported, achieving full storage at Hetch Hetchy is when the cumulative water available to San Francisco reaches the level of 700 thousand acre feet (TAF) in July. For San Francisco to maintain a 10% call for voluntary water use reduction, 230 TAF is needed by July 2015. If San Francisco gets below 230 TAF, actions may need to be taken to decrease total water use.

Last year, only 22 TAF of Tuolumne River water was available to San Francisco by July 2014. February 2015's precipitation increased the level to 42 TAF. To date, the current level is 50 TAF, which may increase slightly as snowmelt occurs. However, Ms. Sandkulla emphasized that the Regional Water System is experiencing another drought year.

Water use has slowly increased as the days are getting warmer and longer, and cumulative savings are below target. This calls for some concern, and a critical push to keep the drought message out and the need to reduce water use.

In response to Director Mendall, Ms. Sandkulla stated that the SFPUC will send a letter to wholesale water customers by April 15th stating the status of water available. She anticipates that San Francisco will continue its request for a voluntary10% water use reduction, as that is all that the system can justify at this time.

Ms. Sandkulla reported that she and Steve Ritchie are looking at how the Governor's Executive Order relates to the level of savings necessary for the Regional Water System, and what implications the order has on the provisions in the WSA. The Governor's Executive Order includes a requirement on water retailers to reduce water use by 25% Statewide. Since the SFPUC is a wholesale water provider to the member agencies, the contract provisions on the WSA regarding drought should not be engaged in this case. BAWSCA and the SFPUC will continue its discussions on this matter.

Director Weed commented that the San Francisco Regional Water System suffers from what has been described as "Sesame Street" legislative guidelines, such as the 20% by 2020. While it is simple, it is not comprehensive. The Regional Water System has a larger watershed in comparison to the rest of the State's, and compliance with State guidelines is often challenging.

Secondly, Director Weed stated his belief that this is not a traditional drought, it's a climate change. He hopes that agencies can move towards a position that communicates the new normal that communities have to prepare for. It's not an interim experience that the State has to deal with. Agencies need to, in whatever extent within the agreements with San Francisco and others, recognize that we are in a different water regime.

2. Governor's Executive Order: BAWSCA Water Resources Manager, Michael Hurley, reported on the Governor's Executive Order, which consists of 24 ordering provisions, 15 of which are applicable to BAWSCA agencies.

The State Water Resources Control Board released a draft framework on April 7th to implement the Governor's Executive Order. BAWSCA will work towards understanding the framework, which includes 4 elements: 1) Apportioning water supply reduction targets to individual water suppliers throughout the State, 2) increased requirements for small water suppliers and Commercial Industrial Institutional (CII) water use, 3) Increased reporting requirements on all water agencies, and 4) enforcement tools.

This order impacts all agencies that provide retail water supplies in the State. It does not apply to San Francisco as a wholesale supplier to the BAWSCA member agencies, but will be applicable as the supplier to its retail customers.

Mr. Hurley reported that small water suppliers, such as those with less than 3,000 service connections, will have increased requirements.

The draft framework imposes tiered reduction targets on all retail water providers to achieve a 25% reduction in water use statewide. BAWSCA will submit a comment letter to the SWRCB that will reference the implications of this requirement to smaller agencies that are already near or at the health and safety standards.

Mr. Hurley noted the City of Brisbane as an example of a small agency that uses an average of 47 gallons per person per day. While there are no firm health and safety standard, the rule of thumb has been 55 gallons per person per day. Mr. Hurley added that historically, smaller agencies have not been subject to urban water management plans and thus have not been subject to the current reporting requirements.

With the first set of emergency drought regulations enforced in 2014, the SWRCB required smaller agencies to report on water use and to develop population figures to establish calculations that serve as a monitoring mechanism.

With the draft framework, smaller agencies are now required to report water use and conservation once a year, or within 180 days of the day the regulation is implemented. Larger agencies will be required to report on all of their customer classes on a monthly basis. Brisbane, GVMID, Stanford and Purissima Hills are the BAWSCA agencies that fall into the category of "smaller agencies".

The Office of Administrative Law would likely take action to implement the regulation at the end of May.

The reporting period will be from June 2015 through February 2016. The intent is to develop an approximately 1.3 million acre feet (AF) of savings over this timeframe. As BAWSCA reviews the requirements, it will keep in mind the overall goal SWRCB is trying to save.

The comparison year is 2013, which is the year SWRCB has been using in their analysis for tracking the State's conservation savings. This is a different year than SFPUC's base period for their voluntary 10% reduction. Moving forward, the analysis will be adjusted to use SWRCB's comparison year of 2013.

The committee discussed the translation of AF to gallons. Mr. Hurley stated that 1.3 million AF is close to 450 trillion gallons of water. A population of 150,000 can use 8-10 billion gallons of water per year. In 2014, San Francisco Regional Water System saved 10 billion gallons of water.

Mr. Hurley reported that the 25% cutback will be allocated differently based on an agency's current residential per capita use. The SWRCB has developed 4 ranges, based on the residential gallons per capita per day (GPCPD), to determine an individual agency's conservation standard.

Director Breault noted that of the 17 agencies that are in the range of under 55 GPCPD, 6 are BAWSCA members. If Brisbane, GVMID and San Francisco were not included, the Bay area region accounts for 50% of the agencies that are in the lower range.

Director Breault also noted that the reduction standard that is being applied to agencies is based on the agencies' residential consumption, but the conservation standard that must be achieved by the agency is based on its overall potable water use.

Agencies will have the challenge of balancing the cutbacks among its residential, large landscapes and CII customers.

Director Bronitsky commented on how (1) a tiered rate structure, under Prop 218, discourages the concept of conserving, and (2) financial incentives from the State can help agencies achieve the cutback requirements. He hopes BAWSCA's letter can address those issues.

Ms. Sandkulla stated that the SWRCB plans to implement fines. How those fines play into rate structures may go a long way for the agencies. This issue is something the agencies will have to consider.

With regards to financial incentives from the State, Ms. Sandkulla explained that BAWSCA's conservation programs currently receive grant funds through Prop 84. Those funds benefit the High Efficiency Toilet, Washing Machine, and Lawn Be Gone rebate programs. Additionally, BAWSCA is preparing to submit a joint grant application with Cal Water, which Adrianne Carr will report on under a subsequent agenda item.

Mr. Hurley added that the Executive Order includes provisions on water rates. The State is looking to develop some assistance for agencies to incentivize reductions in water use through changing rate structures.

Director Pierce asked whether the goal of SWRCB is to get everyone in the range of under 55.

Mr. Hurley reported that the answer to that question is a topic for debate because there are agencies with residential use of up to 250-300 GPCPD that can reduce by 35% and still have water use that may be triple of what agencies on the lower end are using.

Mr. Hurley noted that 8 of the 20 agencies with the lowest per capita use in the State, are BAWSCA member agencies.

Residential consumption will be used to identify an agency's conservation standard. However, the total water consumption will be used to assess an agency's performance and compliance with the regulation. This includes all customer classes, and will focus on potable supply.

The current reporting requirements from urban water suppliers are on residential use only. The new regulations will require reporting on production on a monthly basis, on all customer categories.

In response to Director Weed, Ms. Sandkulla explained that untreated groundwater use is not incorporated in SWRCB calculations. Some BAWSCA agencies, such as Stanford, use non-potable groundwater to irrigate their landscapes.

Mr. Hurley stated that it is not clear yet how agencies will be tracked on their reporting and if penalties will be assessed on a monthly basis.

Implementation will start in June to include the summer months, when most of the conservation potential is. BAWSCA will look into how the agencies' conservation efforts throughout the year will be accounted for in their overall conservation performance.

Enforcement will be both informal and formal. Informal enforcement will include letters that serve as a reminder of agencies' requirements and an alert for lack of

compliance. There will be informational orders that will provide a prescription on what agencies need to do to meet requirements.

Formal enforcement will include a cease and desist order, and fines of up to \$10,000 per day for those who refuse to comply.

Mr. Hurley noted that these are new state board actions with no precedence anywhere else, therefore the administration will be a developing process.

All aspects of the Executive Order and regulations will be subject to compliance and enforcement. Agencies that do not report their usage, implement the required provisions, or fail to meet their target will be deemed non-compliant and subject to fines.

In response to Director Bronitsky, Mr. Hurley stated that if Foster City is unable to achieve its conservation standard of a 15% reduction, they will be subject to fines, even though neighboring communities are achieving their target.

Director Guzzetta stated that demands are hardened and drought conditions will have serious impositions. Fixing leaks and reducing irrigation use made a difference during the drought of 1977-78. But these methods are no longer effective. California has a system that hasn't been improved in many years. The water managers that member agencies report to need to look at ways to make the system more reliable. 50 GPCPD is not a lot of water. Any less than that is below health and safety standards.

Director Keith agreed and reported that at an ACWA event, San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo stated that as a society, Californians have to make changes to become more drought proof.

Director Bronitsky stated that the choice of not building a desal plant because it will be redundant should be reconsidered, and instead, be looked at with the perspective of building it for the redundancy.

Director Weed added that desalination and water transfers are options to recycled and direct potable water that are cost competitive.

Mr. Hurley reported that the specific provisions of the Governor's Executive Order include the collective replacement of 50 million square feet of lawns, prohibitions on irrigation of public street medians with potable water, requirement of all new homes and buildings to use drip irrigation or microspray systems to water landscape, update of the State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance by DWR, and update of efficiency standards for water appliances via emergency regulations by the California Energy Commission.

Comments on SWRCB's draft framework are due on April 13th. BAWSCA is putting together a comment letter that will incorporate input received from the

member agencies. SWRCB is expected to release draft regulations on April 17th. Public hearings will be held on either May 5th or 6th, where approval of the regulations are expected to take place.

BAWSCA will coordinate with the SFPUC on the applicability of the WSA drought plan, the SFPUC's request for voluntary 10% reduction, and implications to the wholesale water rates.

Ms. Sandkulla stated that the wholesale rate package for FY 2015-16 included an assumption of a 10% reduction. She and Christina Tang will confirm with San Francisco that it is financially capable of accommodating the anticipated water use reductions, and resulting reduction in revenue received from the Wholesale Customers, without an impact on the operation of the Regional Water System.

Mr. Hurley reported that BAWSCA facilitated, and will continue to facilitate discussions between the State Board staff and member agency staff on implementation. As a result of the first call held on this topic with the member agencies, it was agreed upon by the member agencies that the BAWSCA website will serve as the host for information on the various water use prohibitions and conservation program information that the different agencies have.

Also resulting from the call was agreement on the development of a lawn watering schedule that would apply throughout the region. The goal is to assist residential customers in understanding efficient outdoor water use as opposed to wasteful practices. The agencies in Santa Clara and Alameda counties support the effort because it is a broad message that is easy to understand and keeps the message of water conservation out in the communities.

Ms. Sandkulla explained that when the Governor's order came out in March, the message that many remembered is that they can only water their lawns twice a week. BAWSCA's message has been to turn off sprinklers during the cooler months when there is no need for irrigation. But, as the summer months approach, people will begin to think about turning their irrigations on. A message about how often people should irrigate and stay in compliance with the Governor's Executive order is essential. It is part of the educational component that is critical to getting the message across.

3. Regional Conservation Programs – BAWSCA Water Resources Analyst, Andree Johnson, reported that BAWSCA's conservation efforts will be expanded to assist member agencies in responding to the drought.

Pending Board approval, BAWSCA will continue to offer all existing conservation programs in FY 2015-16. Three new programs will be offered to member agencies - a Weather-Based Irrigation Controller Incentive Program for large landscapes, a water- efficient fixture "Give-Away", and the incorporation of a design assistance component in the Lawn Be Gone program (LBG).

The goal of the design assistance component to the LBG program is to encourage applicants to get their lawn removal process started. Ms. Johnson reported that Menlo Park launched a conserve-a-scape program in 2014, which provided a landscape contractor to assist LBG applicants with their landscape design. The agencies have expressed a lot of interests on all additional programs.

In response to Director Mendall, Ms. Sandkulla reported that the cap on the rebate amount for the LBG was removed, and the rebate amount per square footage was increased last year. She added that the current terms and conditions for the LBG are very strict because when the program was developed in FY 2010-11, it was developed without the anticipation of a drought. Given the current conditions, Ms. Sandkulla stated that BAWSCA will propose a component on the program that will encourage water customers to allow their lawn to brown, apply for the program, and plant when the drought is over and water is available. Andree will be talking with the Water Resources Committee on April 14th about how to best make that change on the program.

BAWSCA's fellow, in partnership with CivicSpark, has finished distributing BAWSCA's conservation rebate program materials to retailers throughout the service area, and is now providing training to staff of selected retail stores on how to help their customers take advantage of the conservation programs.

BAWSCA has also hired a summer intern who will assist with the outreach efforts by having a presence in member agencies' local events where information can be provided.

Lastly, BAWSCA is continuing to use existing grant funds on conservation programs, and is pursuing additional funding where available through the latest Prop 84 and Prop 1 round, which Adrianne will report on under a later item.

Currently, BAWSCA is working with the SFPUC on planning for a summer drought messaging campaign which is targeted to begin in June. The theme will be consistent with the campaign in 2014. New messages and advertising platforms that are more effective are being considered.

Ms. Johnson reported that under this contract with the SFPUC and the selected service provider, BAWSCA member agencies will have access to the full range of services available to the SFPUC. In addition to having a joint message with the SFPUC, BAWSCA will have the ability to work with the consultant to develop materials that are more specific to the needs of the service area. Some of the services available include development of video and print materials, grassroots outreach, and direct mailing. A Water Resources Committee meeting is scheduled on April 14th to discuss with agency staff members what kinds of materials and messages would be most helpful and effective for the service area.

In response to Director Keith, Ms. Johnson explained that the costs for the direct mail and advertising campaign services will be rolled into the SFPUC wholesale revenue requirement. Ms. Sandkulla explained that this mechanism is the most cost-effective way to cover the costs for addressing the drought, and is a chance to look at opportunities for working with SFPUC on these kinds of efforts. BAWSCA will continue to report on the progress.

Director Weed asked if BAWSCA has done a cost-effectiveness study on how much water is saved with each of its conservation programs. Ms. Sandkulla reported that the Annual Conservation Report provides the cost for implementing each program, the estimated savings achieved, and the cost effectiveness compared to the cost of water. The FY 2012-13 report is available on the website, and the FY 2013-14 is being finalized.

4. Proposition 84 Final Round – Update: Senior Water Resources Analyst, Adrianne Carr, reported that this round of the Prop 84 grant is accelerated to specifically fund implementation of drought projects that are ready for execution.

A total of \$40 million is available for the Bay Area region. The grant is targeting large projects of over \$1 million, and is considering all project types. Ms. Carr noted that this is the last time the State will offer matching fund requirement of 25%. She emphasized that the Prop 1 grant rounds will require a matching fund of 50%, and therefore, member agencies are being encouraged to apply for the grant if they have any projects in the queue.

Concept proposals are due on April 20th, and selected regional projects will be announced in late May. There is a Bay Area region wide committee that selects the projects and moves them to a state application that will be finalized in August. Funding awards will be announced in December 2015.

Ms. Carr reported that BAWSCA is working with Cal Water to pursue a brackish Groundwater desal project identified as part of the Long-Term Reliable Water Supply Strategy (Strategy). In 2012, BAWSCA submitted a Brackish Groundwater Field Investigation project to the Bay Area Interim Regional Water Management Plan. A significant amount of work for this project was achieved through the development of the Strategy, including a groundwater model. The project is much closer to implementation because of the modeling and the next steps include the drilling of a test well that would be used as a supply well for a potential groundwater desal project. The potential site is located along the coast between San Mateo and Burlingame.

Ms. Sandkulla added that Cal Water has an independent interest in increased dry year reliability, and expressed interest in this specific project as BAWSCA completed the Strategy.

Ms. Carr reported that Cal Water is seeking local matching funds from State PUC in their next rate case in May. If the project moves forward, BAWSCA's cost

will be staff time, and the costs for proposal preparation. BAWSCA will be looking at grant funding to cover BAWSCA's share.

Ms. Sandkulla noted that the question to be answered by this particular project is the yield of the Bay mud at the potential project locations. As realized from the completion of the Strategy, there is a wide range in yield that determines the viability and cost effectiveness of desal projects.

5. Pilot Water Transfer Plan – Update: BAWSCA continues to work with its partners to implement the pilot water transfer plan with EBMUD through the Freeport Facility in the Fall of 2015. In the past few months, BAWSCA has begun new conversations with ACWD and Contra Costa Water District to investigate additional options for transfers to the BAWSCA member agencies.

The current drought is presenting challenges for water transfers, including implications on obtaining environmental approvals. There is increased review of the impacts of water transfers on fish and wildlife, and the Bureau of Reclamation is creating challenges to obtaining potential Warren Act contracts for the use of Folsom South Canal. The Folsom South Canal is one of the facilities EBMUD would need to use to move water from the Sacramento River to the Freeport Facility for delivery to the Bay Area.

Additionally, the regulatory agencies are prioritizing water transfers that fulfill public health and safety needs. There is also increased competition for the water that Yuba County Water Agency (YCWA) is selling. The State has indicated priority for the water by increasing their purchase window. The State and Federal projects are looking to potentially keep that water supply. In addition, other agencies with prior State and Federal contracts may want to purchase the water as well.

Despite the challenges, water transfers continue to remain a priority. BAWSCA will prepare a technical report in June that will present results of work completed to date.

Ms. Sandkulla added that BAWSCA continues to learn a lot from this effort, and is looking at what additional efforts and opportunities can be reviewed to make water transfers possible.

Ms. Carr reported that BAWSCA's draft hydraulic study with the City of Hayward will be a key piece of information for the water transfer. BAWSCA and Hayward will be discussing the preliminary results of the study on how Hayward's system will be impacted during the transfer.

7. <u>Comments by Committee Members</u>: Director Bronitsky thanked the BAWSCA staff for the reports. Director Weed thanked Mr. Hurley for his presentation at the ACWA region 5 meeting on March 23rd. There were no additional comments.

April 8, 2015

8. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 3:20pm. The next meeting is June 10, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

Nicole Sandkulla, CEO/General Manager

NS/le

Attachments: 1) Attendance Roster

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE - April 8, 2015

Roster of Attendees:

Committee Members Present

Charlie Bronitsky, City of Foster City (Chair)

Kirsten Keith, City of Menlo Park (Vice Chair)

Randy Breault, City of Brisbane/GVMID (BAWSCA Vice Chair)

Rob Guzzetta, California Water Service Company

Gustav Larsson, City of Sunnyvale

Jerry Marsalli, City of Santa Clara

Al Mendall, City of Hayward (Chair)

Irene O'Connell, City of San Bruno (BAWSCA Chair)

Barbara Pierce, City of Redwood City

John Weed, Alameda County Water District

Committee Members Absent

Louis Vella, Mid-Peninsula Water District

BAWSCA Staff:

Nicole Sandkulla CEO/General Manager

Michael Hurley Water Resources Manager

Adrianne Carr Sr. Water Resources Specialist

Andree Johnson Water Resources Specialist

Christina Tang Sr. Administrative Analyst

Lourdes Enriquez Assistant to the Chief Executive Officer

Allison Schutte Legal Counsel, Hanson Bridgett, LLP

Bud Wendell Management Communications

Public Attendees:

Michele Novotny San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

Agenda Title: Memorandum of Agreement for the Bay Area Regional Reliability
Plan and Authorization for BAWSCA's Cost Share Contribution

Summary:

In July 2014, the Board adopted the <u>Guiding Principles (Principles) for the Bay Area Regional Reliability (BARR) Partnership Development</u> to memorialize BAWSCA's intention to work cooperatively with the other signatory agencies to address water supply reliability concerns on a regionally focused basis. The eight agencies that comprise the BARR Partnership have now developed a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) to define their participation in the development of the Bay Area Regional Reliability Plan (BARR Plan).

The BARR Plan will evaluate and identify near- and long-term joint projects that are mutually beneficial and regionally focused to help achieve greater water supply reliability and drought preparedness in the Bay Area. This effort is consistent with, but distinct from, BAWSCA's recently completed Long-term Reliable Water Supply (Strategy) and will further the implementation of the projects and programs identified through that effort.

The MOA sets forth the roles and responsibilities of each agency for their participation in the development of the BARR Plan. The MOA includes cost sharing provisions to fund the development of the plan with each agency contributing \$50,000. These funds will also serve as a local match to secure an equal or greater amount of grant funding.

Fiscal Impact:

Each agency in the BARR partnership is contributing \$50,000 towards the preparation of the BARR Plan. BAWSCA's participation in the BARR Plan is included in the adopted Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 Work Plan, and funds are available for BAWSCA's cost-share of the BARR Plan development as part of the adopted FY 2015-16 Operating Budget.

Recommendation:

That the Committee recommend that the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager, subject to legal counsel review, to execute the Memorandum of Agreement to participate in the development of the BARR Plan, including authorization of BAWSCA's cost share contribution of \$50,000.

Discussion:

In July 2014, the BAWSCA Board, along with the Bay Area's largest water suppliers, took action to adopt the <u>Guiding Principles for the Bay Area Regional Reliability Partnership</u> Development for the purpose of memorializing each agencies' willingness to work

cooperatively to address regional water supply reliability concerns through an equitable cost, risk, and benefit sharing approach.

The following agencies are the other signatories to the Principles: Alameda County Water District (ACWD), Contra Costa Water District (CCWD), East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD), Marin Municipal Water District (MMWD), San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD), and Zone 7 Water Agency (Zone 7).

Collectively, these agencies have developed an MOA to define their roles and responsibilities, including cost share obligations, in the development of the BARR Plan. The BARR Plan will evaluate and identify mutually beneficial and regionally focused projects that improve regional water supply reliability and drought preparedness. The scope of work for the development of the BARR Plan is being finalized, but specific tasks to be completed as part of the BARR Plan will include:

- Assessment of regional water supply reliability needs, identifying water shortage scenarios;
- Calculation of available capacity in existing facilities to support regional needs;
- Evaluation of agency-specific water supply projects (and/or programs);
- Identification of a mutually preferred BARR program alternative;
- Development of an implementation plan for the preferred alternatives; and
- Identify and provide opportunities for community and regional stakeholder input.

The BARR plan will seek to maximize the use of existing assets of the partner agencies, and if needed, construct new ones to benefit near- and long-term regional reliability projects. Projects to be evaluated include: reoperation of existing facilities, new interconnections, recycled water, water conservation, expanded treatment, regional desalination, water transfers and exchanges, and other projects or institutional arrangements.

This effort is consistent with, but distinct from, BAWSCA's recently completed Strategy and will further the implementation of the projects and programs identified through that effort. Further, execution of the MOA does not commit BAWCA to participate in the projects and/or programs identified through the BARR Plan.

Each agency in the BARR partnership is contributing \$50,000 towards the preparation of the BARR Plan. Participation in BARR is included in the adopted FY 2015-16 Work Plan and funds are allocated and available for BAWSCA's cost-share of the BARR Plan development as part of the adopted FY 2015-16 Operating Budget.

Staff from CCWD and EBMUD are taking the lead on applying for multiple grants to obtain funding for BARR Plan development. Currently, CCWD and EBMUD are preparing a grant application requesting funding for BARR Plan development from the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) Water Smart Drought Contingency Planning Grants for FY 2015. EBMUD will submit the grant application and assume responsibility for administering the grant if the BARR Plan is selected for funding. The scope of work of the BARR Plan will be consistent with the Principles and with grant funding requirements as applicable.

Alternatives to the Recommended Action:

Alternative #1: Support the Recommended Action: Direct participation in BARR and the BARR Plan provide BAWSCA the independent opportunity to work with other regional water agencies to identify possible projects that increase regional reliability consistent with the Strategy findings and recommendations. **This alternative is recommended.**

Alternative #2: Pursue Regional Partnerships and Projects Only With BAWSCA Agencies. BAWSCA could continue to pursue development of regional water supply reliability projects and/or programs identified in the Strategy only in partnership with its member agencies and not with other water agencies in the Bay Area region. This alternative is not recommended as it limits BAWSCA's potential access to regional projects that could benefit the member agencies and their water customers.

Alternative #3: Rely on SFPUC to Represent BAWSCA in BARR Partnership. BAWSCA could rely solely on the SFPUC to participate in BARR and represent the BAWSCA member agency interests in regional projects that could potentially provide additional water supplies to increase the water supply reliability within the BAWSCA service area. This alternative is not recommended as the SFPUC has clearly stated its intention to not provide additional normal year supply or dry year supply reliability to the BAWSCA member agencies at this time.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

<u>Agenda Title:</u> <u>Professional Services Contract with Selected Consultant for Online</u>

Rebate Application System and New Subscription Water Conservation

Management System

Summary:

One of the key drought response actions included in the adopted FY 2015-16 Work Plan and Operating Budget is the development and implementation of a Conservation Management System with two distinct but related elements:

- 1. An online conservation rebate application system (Rebate System), and
- 2. A member agency conservation data tracking system (Data System).

Initiation of this task involves the selection and negotiation of a professional services contract with an outside consultant to develop these systems at BAWSCA's direction. Given the significant increase in conservation program activity, it is even more critical that BAWSCA and its member agencies have effective and user-friendly system for the implementation and tracking of conservation programs and activities.

BAWSCA initiated a competitive selection process for the Conservation Data Management System with the release of a Request for Proposals (RFP) on June 5th. BAWSCA anticipates that the selection process will be completed by late July and that the Rebate System and Data System development will be complete by December 1, 2015, with a Rebate System launch to be coordinated with BAWSCA's new website.

Fiscal Impact:

A contract for a not-to-exceed amount of \$30,000 is recommended to complete the initial development of the Rebate System and Data System. This is consistent with the budget amount included in the proposed FY 2015-16 Operating Budget. Consistent with other new subscription programs, BAWSCA will fund the initial program setup costs given that the Rebate Center and Data System will provide long-term benefits to BAWSCA member agencies, and participation among individual agencies may vary from year to year.

Individual agency setup and annual maintenance costs for the Rebate System will be funded by BAWSCA member agencies participating in BAWSCA rebate programs. It is anticipated that these costs will be offset by the cost savings recognized from a reduction in administrative costs associated with rebate application data entry.

The Data System will be offered to all BAWSCA member agencies as a new subscription conservation program. Individual agency setup, customization, and maintenance costs for the Data System will be funded by those agencies that elect to obtain the system.

Recommendation:

That the Committee recommend the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager to negotiate and execute an agreement with the selected consultant, subject to legal counsel

review, to develop and implement (1) an Online Conservation Rebate Application System, and (2) a Member Agency Conservation Data Tracking System.

Discussion:

BAWSCA and its member agencies are seeking to develop, implement, and maintain a cloud-based Conservation Data Management System with two distinct but related components:

- A Online Conservation Rebate Application System (Rebate System), to streamline the rebate application process for applicable BAWSCA's rebate programs, and
- A Member Agency Conservation Data Management Tracking System (Data System), to enable BAWSCA member agencies to track individual agency conservation program information

The Rebate System will be used by all BAWSCA member agencies that participate in at least one of BAWSCA's Subscription Rebate Programs. The Data Management System will be used separately by individual member agencies to track and report on all of their water conservation programs, including both BAWSCA programs and other programs.

Objectives for the Conservation Management System Project

The Conservation Management System Project has the following objectives:

- Enhance the efficiency of BAWSCA and member agency staff in the administration and implementation of conservation programs, and
- Improve the rebate process for customers.

Key Rebate System Elements

BAWSCA seeks a Rebate System with the following key capabilities and attributes:

- Improves the customer experience with the rebate application process
- Provides for an online application submittal;
- Provides the customer with specific rebate and program information for their water provider in an accessible and comprehensible format;
- Streamlines the agency application review process to reduce staff time associated with each rebate application; and
- Improves the application completion rates and overall number of rebate applications, in particular for the LBG program.

Key Data System Elements

BAWSCA seeks to offer its member agencies a Data System with the following key capabilities and attributes:

- Cloud based system that can be accessed in the field on a tablet computer or phone
 both
 for viewing data and for inputting conservation actions;
- Ability to quickly and efficiently input conservation actions on an account level and for batch processes;
- Ability to quickly and easily view statistics in a user-friendly format. Statistics must include historical water use and conservation program implementation on a system-wide basis and for an individual customer;
- Ability to automatically synchronize key utility billing system data into the Data Management System for use by water conservation staff;
- Data import and export capabilities to Excel or CSV formats; and

Ability to set process schedules and notifications.

Schedule

BAWSCA intends to award a 12-month contract (with 3 additional one-year term options to renew) to the selected consultant whose proposal best responds to the RFP and meets BAWSCA's requirement. Launch of the Rebate System will be coordinated with the new BAWSCA website, which is scheduled to launch in February 2016. Below is an estimated timeline of the process:

June 5, 2015 Request for Proposals Released Requests for Clarification Due June 19, 2015 July 8, 2015 Proposals Due July 15, 2015 Vendor Interviews (if required) July 20, 2015 Notify Vendors of Results of RFP July 27, 2015 Begin Design of Systems Complete Design of Systems November 5, 2015 Staff Training on Systems November 16-20, 2015 Data System to Go Live December 1, 2015 February 1, 2016 Rebate System to Go Live

Background:

BAWSCA currently has two MS SharePoint databases supporting its conservation programs:

- 1. The Rebate Center, which stores rebate records for individual customer rebates issued through BAWSCA's rebate programs.
- 2. The Water Conservation Database (WCDB), which stores annual, summary data on conservation programs for each member agency (e.g., number of rebates issued in a year, number of audits performed, etc).

Currently, the Rebate Center serves the following functions for BAWSCA's High Efficiency Toilet, Rain Barrel, and Lawn Be Gone! rebate programs:

- Stores rebate application data input from hard copy applications received by BAWSCA;
- Stores (1) rebate approval/denial and (2) payment information input by BAWSCA member agencies.
- Data source for rebate payment information files exported to complete grant reporting and invoicing requirements for BAWSCA's monetary grants; and
- Data source for summary data files for each conservation program imported into BAWSCA's WCDB.

In addition to the BAWSCA system, BAWSCA member agencies have their own systems for tracking conservation program activity from BAWSCA, SCVWD, and their individual agency programs. Existing systems vary from agency to agency and may include MS Excel spreadsheets, MS Access databases, or other solutions.

Alternatives to the Recommended Action:

The following alternatives to the recommended actions have been considered:

- Alternative #1: Support the Recommended Actions. An immediate start on the project will provide BAWSCA and its member agencies with a more effective means of administering water conservation activities. The proposed schedule aligns with the BAWSCA website redesign to allow for a coordinated launch of both the new BAWSCA website and the Rebate System. Given the current water supply conditions, it is even more important for BAWSCA and its member agencies to provide an efficient and user-friendly format for member agencies' water customers seeking to participate in conservation rebate programs. It is also critical for BAWSCA member agencies to have an efficient conservation data management system in light of increased conservation activity and reporting requirements established by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). If drought conditions end by Water Year 2016, water conservation will remain critical to ensure water supply in the future. This alternative is recommended.
- Alternative #2: Reduce the Scope of the Project. The project can be reduced to implement the Rebate System only. This can reduce the cost of the effort, but only slightly. This alternative is not recommended.
- Alternative #3: Do Not Move Forward With the Recommended Project and Schedule.
 BAWSCA can choose not to move forward with the Project and to keep the existing, manual rebate processing system. This alternative is not recommended.

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

Agenda Title: Professional Services Contract with Global Sun Landscape to Provide

Landscape Inspection Services for the Lawn Be Gone! Program

Summary:

The Lawn Be Gone! Site Inspection program is an enhancement to the Lawn Be Gone! program that would provide assistance to BAWSCA member agencies in conducting pre- and post-inspections for participating customers in the Lawn Be Gone! program.

The Lawn Be Gone! program is a subscription water conservation program that provides rebates to customers of participating water agencies for replacing turf with water-efficient landscaping. To ensure that sites participating in the program will achieve water savings, each site must meet certain program requirements. To ensure compliance with these requirements, customer sites must complete a pre-inspection and post-inspection

To date, member agency conservation staff have performed the pre- and post-inspections for the Lawn Be Gone! program. However, program participation has increased substantially in the past year. Since July 2014, 245 customer applications for the Lawn Be Gone! have been received. By comparison, 121 Lawn Be Gone applications were received in FY 2013-14 and 19 customer applications were received in FY 2012-13. As the program participation has increased, managing the workload associated with the Lawn Be Gone! inspections has become increasingly time-consuming and member agencies have expressed interest in alternative options for completing these inspections.

To address this need, BAWSCA solicited proposals from three firms to conduct pre- and post-inspections for Lawn Be Gone! projects. Two firms submitted proposals. Based upon the proposals received and alternative options evaluated, contracting with Global Sun Landscape to provide asneeded inspection services to support the Lawn Be Gone! Program is recommended.

Participation in the Lawn Be Gone! program and in the Lawn Be Gone! Site Inspection program is voluntary and offered to all BAWSCA agencies. As a subscription program, participating agencies will pay the entire cost for the program.

Fiscal Impact:

This program will be offered on a subscription basis. For FY 2015-16, only those agencies that elect to participate in this program will pay the cost of the outside service provider.

Recommendation:

That the Committee recommend the Board authorize the CEO/General Manager to:

- 1. Negotiate and execute a contract with the selected consultant, subject to legal counsel review, to implement the Lawn Be Gone! Inspection Services program; and,
- 2. Offer participation in the program to interested BAWSCA agencies on a subscription basis in FY 2015-16.

Discussion:

At the request of the agencies, BAWSCA solicited proposals for inspection services for the Lawn Be Gone! program. The Lawn Be Gone! Site Inspection Program will provide as-needed pre- and post-inspections for sites participating in the Lawn Be Gone! program to evaluate each site's compliance with the Lawn Be Gone! program terms.

Participation in the Lawn Be Gone! program and in the Lawn Be Gone! Inspection Services program is voluntary and will be offered to all BAWSCA agencies. As a subscription program, participating agencies will pay the entire cost for the program. BAWSCA member agencies will also maintain the option to participate in the Lawn Be Gone! program while completing pre- and post-inspections themselves.

Selection Process

BAWSCA solicited proposals from three firms to provide landscape inspection services. Two firms submitted proposals, which were then reviewed by BAWSCA and member agency staff. BAWSCA also considered alternative options, in particular hiring temporary, part-time staff to assist member agencies with the inspections. Based upon the proposals received and alternative options evaluated, contracting with Global Sun Landscape to provide as-needed inspection services to support the Lawn Be Gone! program is recommended.

Scope of Work and Schedule

The proposed Scope of Work for this Project includes the following tasks, to be provided on an asneeded based for BAWSCA member agencies:

- Scheduling of pre-inspections and post-inspections;
- Completion of pre-inspections, including pre-inspection paperwork and photo documentation;
- Completion of post-inspections, including post-inspection paperwork and photo documentation:
- Setup and maintenance of online database for storing program paperwork; and
- Optional hosting of member agencies hotline for program questions and customer service

Alternatives:

The alternative to the recommended action included herein is to not offer the Lawn Be Gone! Site Inspection subscription program in FY 2015-16. This alternative is not recommended given the strong request for additional support for this program from the participating member agencies. In addition, this new subscription program will provide an additional water conservation and outreach tool for participating agencies, particularly valuable under the current drought conditions.

Conclusion:

The Lawn Be Gone! Site Inspection program will provide a valuable enhancement to the Lawn Be Gone! program for the agencies that opt to participate. The program can be provided by a qualified vendor at a reasonable cost. For these reasons, implementation of the program is recommended.

Attachment:

The scope of work from the Global Sun Landscape proposal is shown in Exhibit A.

EXHIBIT A

Scope of Services for the Lawn Be Gone! Inspection Services Program

Provide pre- and post-inspection support for Bay Area Water Supply & Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) retailer's customers that participate in the Lawn Be Gone! rebate program.

The Lawn Be Gone! rebate program is advertised by BAWSCA and its member agencies for participation by water customers. Member agencies will field calls from interested customers. Member agencies will also get customers to apply for the program by completing the appropriate paperwork, collecting all information needed in order for Global Sun Landscape (GSL) to initiate contact with customer for the pre-inspection for their Lawn Be Gone! project. GSL will then be responsible for completing the necessary pre-inspection paperwork and photos, and qualify the water customer for participation in the program by sending out a notice to proceed.

After field data and photos have been collected by GSL, this information will be entered into an online databased hosted by GSL. The online database will allow for member agencies and GSL to store customer information for all parties to view if there are questions regarding a specific retailer's customer's paperwork. The same processes will occur for the pre- and post-inspections.

Once the customer has completed their Lawn Be Gone! project, they will contact either their water supplier or GSL to set up the post-inspection, at which GLS will collect field data and photos to confirm that the customer's Lawn Be Gone! project qualifies for a rebate based on the guidelines created by BAWSCA and its member agencies.

(This page intentionally left blank.)

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION AGENCY

BOARD POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING

Agenda Title: Process and Schedule for CEO Annual Evaluation

Summary:

The Chair and Vice-Chair have met with the CEO to review and update the procedure for the CEO's Annual Performance Evaluation.

The design of the evaluation procedure is based on the participation by the full Board and a written set of performance objectives. Prior to the evaluation, the CEO will prepare a report to the Board on her performance during the prior year.

At the July 16th Board meeting, Vice-Chair Mendall (who will be chairing the meeting in the absence of Chair Breault) will present the procedure and evaluation form to the Board of Directors for input. The CEO's performance report and the evaluation form will be mailed to each Director by the end of July. The closed session performance evaluation will take place at the September 17th Board meeting.

Recommendation:

That the committee provide input on the procedure and draft evaluation form for the CEO/GM performance evaluation and recommend that the Board review the revised form during its July meeting for subsequent use as part of the CEO/GM performance evaluation.

Attachments:

- 1. CEO Evaluation Procedure
- 2. Draft CEO Annual Performance Evaluation Form

(This page intentionally left blank.)

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW: EVALUATION PROCEDURE June 2015

Evaluation procedure design

- a. Based on prescribed objectives.
- b. Conducted by the Board Chair.
- c. Includes the full Board's participation and review.
- d. Summarized in the CEO's personnel file.
- e. At any time the Board Chair may access legal counsel guidance on legal questions and procedures.

Evaluation steps

1. CEO Activities

CEO provides a copy of the evaluation criteria for the current year.

CEO produces a summary of annual activities and a copy of the CEO's job description.

2. Preliminary Board Chair activities

Board Chair sends evaluation criteria and form to all Board members by July 23rd.

3. Directors submit forms and written comments

Board members to return completed forms and written comments to BAWSCA Chair by August 7th.

4. Board Chair activities following receipt of completed forms and written comments

Board Chair compiles scores and compiles all written comments (anonymous listing).

Board Chair may edit if director comments are inappropriate under law.

Board Chair may ask CEO to clarify or fact-check information referenced in directors comments.

Board Chair should exercise caution to avoid the fact or appearance of serial communications with directors.

Board Chair produces a written draft consisting of:

- 1. Tabulated scores and totals.
- 2. Compilation of directors' comments.
- 3. Summary CEO evaluation.

Board Chair distributes these products to directors in advance of closed session discussion.

Legal counsel should be asked to provide a cover letter that sets the context for, and prudent reminders related to closed session discussions and personnel performance reviews.

CEO Annual Performance Review: Evaluation Procedure DRAFT 6.03.2015

5. The Board meets in closed session

Board Chair presents the written materials for discussion:

- 1. Board Chair notes any comments deleted or revised, and the reasons for doing so.
- 2. Board Chair may ask that any significant new information be put in writing, perhaps as an amendment to the director's original input, so as to ensure the input is accurately reproduced.
- 3. The Chair may ask the CEO to join the closed session for clarification or discussion of matters.
- 4. The Chair may ask legal counsel to join the closed session for legal guidance.

6. Board Chair activities following closed session

Following the closed session, the Board Chair finalizes the written materials, including the summary evaluation.

Board Chair meets with the CEO to go over and discuss the materials.

- 1. The packet is signed by both the Board Chair and CEO to signify that the meeting and discussion took place.
- 2. The CEO should acknowledge whether he/she accepts the report or wishes to provide written responses to specific statements.

All of the written material will be retained in the CEO's personnel file.

Board Chair shares the finalized evaluation with the Board, or makes it available to them.

7. Board Chair activities for subsequent year's evaluation

Following the evaluation process, the Board Chair considers suggestions from directors and establishes the evaluation criteria for the coming evaluation period.

Board Chair and the CEO meet to discuss and agree on the revised criteria.

The Board Chair reviews the revised criteria with the Board of Directors.

CEO Annual Performance Review: Evaluation Procedure DRAFT 6.03.2015

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY & CONSERVATION AGENCY

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW: EVALUATION FORM

Employee:	Date of Evaluation:	Evaluator:	_
Instructions:			
Please return to the Chair of the	Board by <u><i>August 7, 2015.</i></u>		
Please mark here if you would like	your name as associated with your comments to not be	e shared by Chair with CEO/GM at conclusion of review	
Check the appropriate box for each	factor. Provide specific comments or examples in	the comment section on page 2.	

LEADERSHIP OBJECTIVES	Exceeds Objectives	Meets Objectives	Meets Minimal Objectives	Does Not Meet Objectives	Don't Know
A. Leads BAWSCA, its agencies, Board, staff, SF policy makers, legislators, media and others to understand and support BAWSCA's goals.					
B. Identifies major issues, assigns appropriate priorities and determines appropriate annual results for meeting agency goals.					
C. Applies resources effectively to achieve results.					
D. Defines, and proposes how to address, policy issues and provides clear information for Board to make timely, informed decisions.					
E. Anticipates and recognizes when external actions impact our ability to achieve our goals, and helps the agency respond accordingly.					
F. Relates effectively with diverse audiences to achieve results.					
G. Listens to and objectively considers comments by the Board, staff and agencies and responds appropriately to achieve the agency's goals.					

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	Exceeds Objectives	Meets Objectives	Meets Minimal Objectives	Does Not Meet Objectives	Don't Know
H. Prepares and presents annual work plan by May board meeting.					
Prepares and presents annual budget and funding plan by May board meeting.					
J. Maintains expenses within budget and manages reserve.					
K. Recruit, screen, hire and train new staff members and maintain an effective work force.					

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW: EVALUATION FORM

COMMENTS ABOUT THE CEO'S PERFORMANCE DURING THE EVALUATION PERIOD*

What does the CEO do very well?
What could the CEO do better?
Other comments?
Other comments:
On what performance issues do you suggest the CEO focus during the coming year?

^{*} Comments related to annual work plans, the conduct of Board meetings or other matters regarding the effectiveness of the agency and Board will be solicited separately from the CEO's performance evaluation.



155 Bovet Road, Suite 650 San Mateo, California 94402 (650) 349-3000 tel. (650) 349-8395 fax

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board Of Directors

DATE: June 5, 2015

FROM: Nicole Sandkulla, CEO/General Manager

SUBJECT: Chief Executive Officer/General Manager's Letter

Status of FY 2013-14 Wholesale Revenue Requirement Review

Pursuant to Section 7.06A of the 2009 Water Supply Agreement (WSA), BAWSCA conducted its review of SFPUC's calculation of the annual Wholesale Revenue Requirement (WRR) and the changes in the balancing account for FY 2013-14, which was submitted by the SFPUC on February 18, 2015. As a result of the WRR review, BAWSCA sent some questions and concerns to the SFPUC on April 17. BAWSCA is waiting for the SFPUC's response. The deadline for both parties to enter into a settlement agreement for FY 2013-14 or for the wholesale customers to file a demand for arbitration on any unsolved issues is February 18, 2016.

Regional Drought Campaign - Update:

The regional drought messaging campaign is scheduled to launch next week. BAWSCA is participating in a press conference with SFPUC on June 9th to update the media on drought reduction targets; highlight great 2014 consumer response; remind customers of continued call to save; and unveil the new campaign. Billboards with the new campaign messaging will launch on June 15th through San Mateo County and Hayward, and a video campaign will begin in July through cable advertising or YouTube messaging.

Social Media:

BAWSCA is investigating the potential to develop a social media presence to assist with drought outreach and agencies' efforts to achieve the State mandated conservation savings. Under the CEO's discretionary authority, Ms. Sandkulla has entered into a contract for \$5,500 with Hanley Communications, a public relations firm, to complete a social media plan and use policy. Through this task, BAWSCA will determine whether social media would provide a measurable benefit to assisting the BAWSCA member agencies in meeting their conservation targets. The social media plan and use policy will be completed by June 30th. Should BAWSCA move forward with social media implementation, a July launch is anticipated to coordinate with the regional drought campaign.

GardenSoft Update:

BAWSCA recently updated the WaterWise Gardening in the Bay Area website (BayAreaGardening.org) for consistency with the two day per week irrigation restrictions to be adopted by most BAWSCA agencies. The Watering Calculator on the website now provides optimized watering schedule based upon no more than two days per week of irrigation. BAWSCA is also updating the website to identify which plants are eligible for the Lawn Be

Gone! program, to better assist customers in completing their site designs. This work is expected to be complete by the end of June.

<u>Water Conservation 101</u>: As part of its expanded public education efforts, BAWSCA, in partnership with Mid-Peninsula Water District (MPWD), held its first <u>Water Conservation 101</u> class on May 27th at the Belmont Library. This pilot class, which was co-taught by BAWSCA and MPWD staff, provided an overview of water supplies and demands, an update on State and local drought restrictions, ways to conserve, and rebate and incentive programs. Approximately 35 people attended the class, which received excellent reviews from participants. BAWSCA staff is working with member agencies to offer additional classes throughout the service area over the summer.

Groundwater in San Mateo County

As a part of the Long Term Reliable Water Supply Strategy (Strategy), BAWSCA studied groundwater conditions in the region in cooperation with the member agencies. BAWSCA's investigations and ongoing coordination with the member agencies have identified that the San Mateo Plain groundwater basin (that underlies the cities of East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, Belmont, San Mateo, Foster City, Burlingame, and the Town of Hillsborough) is not threatened by overdraft from excessive groundwater withdrawals or sea water intrusion. In addition, BAWSCA has investigated the potential impacts of planned future groundwater pumping in the basin through 2035. The analyses have shown that the future pumping from the basin will also be significantly lower than the average recharge from precipitation. Since the inputs to the basin are estimated to remain higher than the outputs to the basin, the water levels are projected to remain high, and it is evident that the agencies that overlie the basin are managing their own groundwater extraction activities, both currently and planned, in a sustainable manner.

Some interested parties and other stakeholders have expressed concerns regarding increased groundwater use by individual property owners in response to the current drought. BAWSCA supports an effort proposed by San Mateo County to embark on a study of the San Mateo Plain groundwater basin to address uncertainties in the amount of recharge to the basin and the connectivity of the basin with surrounding areas. BAWSCA is supportive of efforts to gather more data and inputs and outputs to the basin, including data on private wells throughout the County. BAWSCA looks forward to working cooperatively with the County in expanding the knowledge of the San Mateo Plain basin, including providing the County with regional groundwater model that BAWSCA developed for the Strategy. Combined with new data that the County plans to gather, BAWSCA's Strategy Groundwater Model could be a useful tool for the County in investigating conditions in the San Mateo Plain basin.

Board Policy Committee Policy Calendar through December 2015

Board Meeting	Purpose	Issue or Topic
June 2015	D&A D&A R&D	Bay Area Reliability Partnership - Feasibility Study Cost Share Agreement New Subscription Conservation Program – Conservation Mgmt. System BAWSCA Pilot Water Transfer Plan Report
Aug. 2015	R&D	Review of General Reserve Policy
Oct. 2015	R&D D&A	BAWSCA Mid-Year Review for FY 2015-16 Work Plan and Budget Review Water Supply Forecast & Decide if a Transfer Should be Pursued
Dec. 2015	D&A D&A D	Review Water Supply Forecast & Decide if a Transfer Should be Pursued BAWSCA Mid-Year Review for FY 2015-16 Work Plan and Budget Work Plan and Budget Planning for FY 2016-17